2003 Current Fiscal Year Report: Pentagon Memorial Design Competition Jury

Report Run Date: 04/25/2024 02:54:52 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Defense 2003

3b. GSA Committee
3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

Pentagon Memorial Design

Competition Jury

4. Is this New During 5. Current6. Expected7. ExpectedFiscal Year?CharterRenewal DateTerm DateNo08/26/200203/03/2003

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Yes 03/03/2003

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| Continue of the c

Terminate No

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee _ . .

Authority Date Type

Presidential?

Ad hoc No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 2 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 2

Meetings and Dates

Purpose Start End

To review and evaluate entries submitted received in response to the design

competition for a Pentagon Memorial to the 10/01/2002 - 10/02/2002

victims of the September 11, 2001 terrorist

attack on the Pentagon.

To make final recommendation on which will be forwarded to the Secretary of Defense 02/21/2003 - 02/21/2003 design concept for the Pentagon Memorial for approval.

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY	
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	\$27,000.00	\$0.00	
Non-Federal Members	Ψ21,000.00	φυ.σσ	
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Federal Members	ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ	
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$13,500.00	00 02 C	
Federal Staff	Ψ10,000.00	, ψυ.υυ	
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$4,400.00	00 02 C	
Non-Member Consultants	ψ+,+00.00	, ψυ.υυ	
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$8.500.00	\$0.00	
Non-Federal Members	ψο,σου.σο		
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Federal Members	ψ0.00		
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Federal Staff	ψ0.00		
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Non-member Consultants			
18c. Other(rents, user charges	, & 30 000 00	00 02 C	
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	ψ50,000.00	, ψυ.υυ	
18d. Total	\$83,400.00	\$0.00	
19. Federal Staff Support	0.10	0.00	
Years (FTE)	0.10	0.00	

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Jury selected a design for a Pentagon Memorial and provided their recommendation to the Secretary of Defense. The Jury reviewed and evaluated all designs submitted in response to the Baltimore District, Corps of Engineers announcement of the design competition for a Pentagon Memorial to the victims of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attack on the Pentagon.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Jury consisted of eleven members; six preeminent in design fields, one member of the family of the victims, and four members that have had long standing relationships with the Department of Defense and/or other Federal Agencies

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Jury held two closed meetings, one of which spanned several days.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Department needed an independent group of advisors to ensure that the design of the Pentagon Memorial would be a lasting tribute to those who perished. The Department of Defense wanted the design of the Pentagon Memorial to be recommended by a group with artistic and historical expertise, political experience and those who were personally affected by the loss of a loved one in the September 11 tragedy. No other group was capable of performing this service.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Procurement sensitive information was discussed at the closed sessions.

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Jerry R. Shiplett

Committee Members

End Occupation

Member Designation

Brown, Harold	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Former Secretary of Defense	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member Special
Chamberlain, Wendy	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Family Member	Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hood, Walter	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Landscape Architect, University of California at Berkeley	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member Special
Laird, Melvin	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Former Secretary of Defense	Government Employee (SGE) Member
Laychak, Jim	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Family Member	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Martin, Roger	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Lanscape Architect, Professor Emeritus, University of Minnesota	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Miss, Mary	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Artist	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pasquarelli, Greg	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Architect	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Riley, Terry	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Chief Curator of Architecture and Design, Museum of Modern Art, NYC	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Shelton, Carloyn	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Wife of Gen H. Hugh Shelton, Former Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Van Lengen, Karen	08/26/2002	03/03/2003	Dean, School of Architecture, University of Virginia	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

de Bretteville, 08/26/2002 03/03/2003 Public Artist Shelia

Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 12

Narrative Description

This was an ad hoc committee that chose a design for a memorial for those who died in the September 11, 2001 attack on the Pentagon.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if
	Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	✓
Outcome Comments	
Chose the design for a memorial for t	he U.S. public to visit.
What are the cost savings associat	ed with this committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	✓
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	

\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000
Over \$10,000,000
Cost Savings Other
Cost Savings Comments NA
What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?
Number of Recommendations Comments NA
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? 100%
% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments NA
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency? 0%
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemented Comments NA
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes № No Not Applicable □
Agency Feedback Comments Progress on memorial building process is available to Pentagon victim family groups and through the DoD office monitoring the project.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or

recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities				
Reallocated resources				
Issued new regulation				
Proposed legislation				
Approved grants or other payments				
Other				
Action Comments NA				
Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?				
Grant Review Comments NA				
How is access provided to the information for the Committee	e's documentation?			
Checked if App				
Contact DFO				
Online Agency Web Site	~			
Online Committee Web Site				
Online GSA FACA Web Site				
Publications				
Other				
Access Comments NA				