2003 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Nuclear Security Administration Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 04/16/2024 09:47:23 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Energy 2003

3b. GSA
3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

National Nuclear Security Administration

Advisory Committee 10762

4. Is this New During 5. Current6. Expected7. ExpectedFiscal Year?CharterRenewal DateTerm DateNo06/25/200106/25/200306/25/2003

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Yes 06/25/2003

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| Continue of the c

i iscai i cai

Continue

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Commitee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

| \$0.00\$0.00 |
|--------------|
| \$0.00\$0.00 |
| \$0.00\$0.00 |
| \$0.00\$0.00 |
| \$0.00\$0.00 |
| \$0.00\$0.00 |
| \$0.00\$0.00 |
| \$0.00\$0.00 |
| \$0.00\$0.00 |
| \$0.00\$0.00 |
| 0.00 0.00 |
| |

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Since its establishment on June 25, 2001, the Committee met twice in FY 2001 and three times in 2002 to discuss the charge issued by the NNSA Administrator. The charge directed the Committee to review the current NNSA research and development portfolio, and to make strategic recommendations for strengthening NNSA leadership in science and technology. The Committee was asked to focus on experimental programs in the areas of Stockpile Stewardship and Nonproliferation Research and Engineering (see http://www.nnsa.doe.gov/docs.asp) and to submit a Final Report by March 1, 2002. The first meeting, June 26-27, 2001, was designed to brief members on relevant programmatic issues and

highlight areas of concern. The meeting also allowed the Committee to outline its strategic approach and operational workplan. Members agreed to establish two subcommittees -- the Defense Programs and Nuclear Nonproliferation Subcommittees -- to examine their respective issues in more detail, and to report back to the full Committee. Subsequent meetings have been used for briefings and presentations to supply information to Committee members in support of NNSA tasking.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Committee membership reflects an appropriately balanced and diverse membership among areas of technical know-how, various types of institutional affiliation, and geographic location. The membership is also balanced in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and implementing regulations.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee anticipates holding four full Committee meetings per year, on an essentially quarterly basis. Subcommittees meet more frequently, including fact-finding visits and meetings prior to full Committee meetings. From its establishment in June 2001, through October 2002, the full Committee met five times. The NN and DP Subcommittees met as required. During full Committee meetings, the Subcommittees' observations and proposed recommendations are presented, critiqued, and discussed by the full Committee, and help shape the Committee's recommendations to the Administrator.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this

committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Committee is the only independent, advisory body charged with providing informed advice and recommendations directly to the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration on issues related to the NNSA Mission, including nonproliferation and stockpile stewardship, and their related technology, research and development. The outside experience this Committee brings to the NNSA does not exist anywhere else within the Department or Federal Government.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings involve discussion of restricted data and classified information specifically required by statute and Executive Order to be kept secret in the interest of national security. When possible, the Committee will open portions of meetings to the public.

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Maureen I. McCarthy Chief Scientist, National Nuclear Security Administration

| Committee Members | Start | End | Occupation | Member Designation |
|----------------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| Alessi, Vic | 06/25/2001 | 11/29/2002 | CEO and President, United States Industry Coalition | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| Chiles, Henry | 06/25/2001 | 11/29/2002 | Distinguished Professor of Leadership, U.S. Naval Academy | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |

| 08/15/2001 | 11/29/2002 | Department of Physics, Stanford University | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
|------------|--|---|--|
| 06/25/2001 | 11/29/2002 | • | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| 06/25/2001 | 11/29/2002 | Professor Emeritus and Senior Fellow, Hoover Institute | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| 10/19/2001 | 11/29/2002 | Vice President, TRW | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| 06/25/2001 | 11/29/2002 | President, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| 06/25/2001 | 11/29/2002 | Professor, Departments of Earth & Planetary Science, University California Berkeley | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| 06/25/2001 | 11/29/2002 | Director, EPSCoR | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| 06/25/2001 | 11/29/2002 | | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| 06/25/2001 | 11/29/2002 | Department of | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| 06/25/2001 | 11/29/2002 | President, Institute for Defense Analysis | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| 08/15/2001 | 11/29/2002 | Consultant, Johnston and Associates | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| | 06/25/2001 06/25/2001 06/25/2001 06/25/2001 06/25/2001 06/25/2001 | 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 10/19/2001 11/29/2002 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 | 08/15/2001 11/29/2002 Physics, Stanford University 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 President and COO, Nuclear Threat Initiative 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 Professor Emeritus and Senior Fellow, Hoover Institute 10/19/2001 11/29/2002 Vice President, TRW 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 President, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 Earth & Planetary Science, University California Berkeley 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 Director, EPSCoR 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 Director, Center for Advanced Computing, CalTech 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign 06/25/2001 11/29/2002 President, Institute for Defense Analysis |

Williams,

Ellen

10/19/2001 11/29/2002 of Physics,

Narrative Description

Over \$10,000,000

Distinguished University Professor Government

Special Employee

University of Maryland

(SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 14

| NA | |
|--|--------------------|
| What are the most significant program outo | comes associated |
| with this committee? | |
| | Checked if |
| | Applies |
| Improvements to health or safety | |
| Trust in government | |
| Major policy changes | |
| Advance in scientific research | |
| Effective grant making | |
| Improved service delivery | |
| Increased customer satisfaction | |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory | |
| requirements | |
| Other | |
| Outcome Comments | |
| NA | |
| What are the cost savings associated with | this committee? |
| | Checked if Applies |
| None | |
| Unable to Determine | ✓ |
| Under \$100,000 | |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | |

| Cost Savings Other | |
|--|---|
| Cost Savings Comments NA | |
| What is the approximate Number of recomfor the life of the committee? | mendations produced by this committee |
| Number of Recommendations Comments NA | |
| What is the approximate Percentage of the will be Fully implemented by the agency? 0% | se recommendations that have been or |
| % of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented NA | I Comments |
| What is the approximate Percentage of the will be Partially implemented by the agency 0% | |
| % of Recommendations Partially ImplemenTNA | nted Comments |
| Does the agency provide the committee wi implement recommendations or advice offers. No Not Applicable | |
| Agency Feedback Comments NA | |
| What other actions has the agency taken a recommendation? | s a result of the committee's advice or |
| | Checked if Applies |
| Reorganized Priorities | |
| Reallocated resources | |
| Issued new regulation | |

| Proposed legislation Approved grants or other payments Other | |
|--|---------|
| Action Comments NA | |
| Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for g | grants? |
| Grant Review Comments NA | |
| How is access provided to the information for the Committee Checked if App | |
| Contact DFO | √ |
| Online Agency Web Site | |
| Online Committee Web Site | |
| Online GSA FACA Web Site | |
| Publications | |
| Other | |
| Access Comments NA | |