### 2003 Current Fiscal Year Report: Golden Gate National Recreation Area Advisory Commission

Report Run Date: 04/23/2024 04:05:08 PM

1. Department or Agency Department of the Interior			<b>2. Fiscal Year</b> 2003	
3. Committee or Subcommittee			3b. GSA Committee No.	
Golden Gate National Recreation Area Advisory Commission			101	
4. Is this New During	5. Curre	nt 6.E	Expected	7. Expected
Fiscal Year?	Charter	Re	newal Date	Term Date
No	01/19/19	93		10/27/2002
8b. Specific 8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear? Authority			tion	8c. Actual Term Date
Yes	Р	.L. 92-8	589	10/27/2002
Recommendation for Next		0a. Legislation Req to Terminate?		10b. Legislation Pending?
Continue	Y	es		Pending
11. Establishment Aut	thority	Statuto	ry (Congress	s Created)
12. Specific	13.		14.	44-
Establishment	Effec	tive	Commitee	14c. Presidential?
Authority	Date		Туре	Flesidelitial :
PL 92-589	10/27	7/1972	Continuing	No
15. Description of Cor	nmittee	Non S	Scientific Pro	gram Advisory
Board				
16a. Total Number of	Reports	<b>;</b> 1		
16b. Report Date	rt Date Report Title			
03/07/2003	Not Listed			
Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1				
17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open				
Meetings and Dates				
No Meetings				

**Current Next** 

	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.	00\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.	00 00.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0	00\$0.00
Federal Members	ψ0.	ου φυ.ου
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	¢0	00\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.	00ψ0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	ድብ	00\$0.00
Non-Member Consultants	<b>ф</b> 0.	00 \$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	¢۵	00\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	<b>Ф</b> О.	00 \$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	¢0	00\$0.00
Federal Members	ψ0.	00φ0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	0\$	00\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.	00ψ0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	¢0	00 \$0 00
Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00	
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	¢0	00\$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	φ0.	00.00
18d. Total	\$0.	00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	1	00 1.00
(FTE)	1.	00 1.00

## 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Commission terminated October 27, 2002. Legislation to reauthorize the Commission for an additional 10 years is pending in Congress.The Golden Gate National Recreation Area Advisory Commission provides a public forum for development of issues concerning areas of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Point Reyes National Seashore. The Commission provides a recognized forum for receipt of public opinion and allows the parks to maintain constructive, informal contacts both with other public agencies and the private sector which can aid in heightening the spirit of cooperation between the National Park Service and these groups.

## 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The 18 members of the Commission are appointed by the Secretary of the Interior from among nominations by either members of the public or by local government and private organizations. Nine appointments are at-large, chosen to ensure representation of all of the geographical areas affected by the Golden Gate National Recreation Area and the Point Reyes National Seashore. Three seats are specifically designated for representatives from the minority communities of the Bay Area. The final complement thus represents the communities, organizations, and local government bodies of the Bay Area that are most interested and involved in the development and operations of the Golden Gate NRA and the Point Reyes NS.

## 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The full Commission meets at least twice a fiscal year, or as often as necessary to provide input to policy decisions. The Commission is relied upon for advice, as elements of the General Management Plan for the Golden Gate National Recreation Area, NPS policies and GGNRA park regulations are discussed by the Commission; and, as land issues are resolved within the legislated boundaries of Golden Gate NRA and the Point Reyes National Seashore. The public forum is a necessary part of the decision and planning process as the General Managemnet Plan and the Presidio planning process are carried forward. Elements of the General Management plan are of vital interest to the local communities and would spark much controversy if not brought before public review. In addition, the level of public interest in the Presidio has been made evident by the amount of public participation in all the Commission meets which have been held on issues of planning and management at the Presidio and cooperation and coordination with the Presidio Trust Board.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Commission has been established to meet with and advise the Secretary of the Interior, or the Secretary's designee, on general policies and specific matters related to planning, administration and development affecting the Golden Gate National Recreation Area and other units of the National Park System in Marin. San Mateo and San Francisco Counties, including the transfer of the Presidio from the Department of Defense to the National Park Service and the future management of the Presidio. The advice and direction gained from the Commission in providing input to park policy is necessary to maintain the credibility of the National Park Service with the public, and to foster interagency cooperation for the National Park Service ventures and development as the General Management Plan is implemented.

## 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open.

#### 21. Remarks

(1) Re #6 (EXPECTED CHARTER RENEWALDATE) -- The FACA Section 14(b) biennialrechartering requirement has been wiaved for this

#### Designated Federal Officer

#### Brian O'Neill, Superintendent, Golden Gate

#### National Recreation Area

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Alexander, Michael	08/25/1994	10/27/2002	Retired Photojournalist-Environmentalist	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Allan, Susan	03/08/2000	10/27/2002	Educational film producer	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bartke, Richard	08/25/1994	10/27/2002	Attorney-Private Practice/Assn of Bay Area Governments	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bennett, Gordon	03/08/2000	10/27/2002	environmentalist	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Cutler, Betsey	03/08/2000	10/27/2002	environmentalist	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Jones, Paul	10/30/2001	10/27/2002	Life Scientist, Naturalist	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kernan, Redmond	08/25/1994	10/27/2002	Former Exec Dir, SF Redevelopment Agency	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lee, Yvonne	08/25/1994	10/27/2002	Chinese and Asian Community Leader	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Meyer, Amy	08/25/1994	10/27/2002	People for a Golden Gate National Recreation Area	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Nadeau, Doug	03/08/2000	10/27/2002	retired park planner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Orr, Trent	08/25/1994	10/27/2002	Attorney/ Environmental Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Roberts, Lennie	08/25/1994	10/27/2002	Environmental community leader	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rodoni, Dennis	03/08/2000	10/27/2002	businessman	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rodriguez, Fred	08/30/2000	09/02/2003	attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Siden, Douglas	03/08/2000	10/27/2002	environmental education director	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Wayburn, Edgar	08/25/1994	10/27/2002	Retired Physician/Environmentalist	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

#### Number of Committee Members Listed: 16

#### **Narrative Description**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Advisory Commission did not meet this fiscal year.

## What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if

Applies

Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	

Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	

#### **Outcome Comments**

NA

#### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

**Cost Savings Comments** 

NA

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

# What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemented Comments NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗌 No 🗹 Not Applicable 🗌

## Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	

#### **Action Comments**

NA

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No

## Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	

Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

#### **Access Comments**

NA