2002 Current Fiscal Year Report: Niobrara Scenic River Advisory Commission

Report Run Date: 04/18/2024 01:00:49 PM

2. Fiscal Year 1. Department or Agency

Department of the Interior 2002

3b. GSA Committee

3. Committee or Subcommittee No.

Niobrara Scenic River Advisory

564 Commission

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date **Term Date** No 07/31/1991 07/31/1993 05/24/2001

8b. Specific 8a. Was Terminated During Termination 8c. Actual FiscalYear? **Term Date** Authority

P.L. 102-50 (Section

14c.

Yes 05/24/2001 5)

9. Agency 10b. 10a. Legislation

Recommendation for Next Legislation Req to Terminate? **FiscalYear** Pending?

Terminate

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific 13. 14

Establishment Effective Commitee Presidential?

Authority Type Date

P.L. 102-50 (Section 5) 05/24/1991 Ad hoc No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total Number of Reports 1

16b. Report Date Report Title 07/29/2002 Not Listed

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current Next	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	ድስ (00\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	φυ.(JO \$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00\$0.00
Federal Members	ψ0.0	λο ψο.σο
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	ድብ (00\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.0	λο φο.σο
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	ድ ስ (00 02 00
Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00	
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	ድ ስ (ገብ ቁብ ብብ
Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00	
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	ድ ስ (00\$0.00
Federal Members	φυ.(λύ φυ.υυ
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.0	λο φο.σο
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00\$0.00
Non-member Consultants	nts	
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	\$0.0	00\$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	ψ0.0	λο ψο.σο
18d. Total	\$0.0	00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.0	00.00
(FTE)	0.0	.0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The occasional value of the open advisory committee meetings to the public, the distribution of meeting minutes throughout the community, the State, and other interested parties, and the continued media coverage of the advisory committee analysis of planning documents has been critical to the consensus-building process in the community for the completion of the General Management Plan/EnvironmentalImpact Statement.

20b. How does the Committee balance its

membership?

The eleven members on the committee represent a broad cross-section of the public interested in the long-term management of the Niobrara National Scenic River Members represented property owners in the Niobrara Valley, canoe outfitters, the State of Nebraska, county and/or natural resource districts, and the conservation community.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Commission met once prior to expiration. Since the group represents the community, their discussion of issues provided critical input to the decision-making process. The public meeting provided an open forum for discussion with community members who attend the meetings or write letters to the advisory committee.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Niobrara Scenic River Advisory Commission was established pursuant to Public Law 102-50, to advise the Secretary of the Interior on matters pertaining to the development of a management plan, and the management and operation of the 76-mile Niobrara National Scenic River.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? All meetings were open.

21. Remarks

(1) The advisory commission met once in 2001, just prior to expiration on May 24, 2001.

Designated Federal Officer

William W. Schenk, Regional Director, Midwest Region, National Park Service

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Appelt, Ann	05/19/1992	05/19/1995	Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Arrowsmith, Brad	05/19/1992	05/19/1995	Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Christiansen, Lou	05/19/1992	05/19/1995	Canoe Outfitter	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Egehoff, Franklin	05/19/1992	05/19/1995	Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hilske, Robert	05/19/1992	05/19/1995	Natural Resource District	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kuhre, Doug	05/19/1992	05/19/1995	Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Parker, Winifred	05/19/1992	05/19/1995	Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sandall, Wesley	05/19/1992	05/19/1995	Landowner, County Representative	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Shay, Vince	05/19/1992	05/19/1995	Conservation Organization (TNC)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Simmons, Carl	01/15/1993	01/15/1996	Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Williamson, Dayle	05/19/1992	05/19/1995	Governors Representative (Nebraska)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 11

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if	
Applies	
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments What are the cost savings associated with this committee?	
Checked if Appl	ies
None	✓
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

Number of Recommendations Comments

Action Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendate will be Fully implemented by the agency?	ntions that have been or			
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments				
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendate will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?	itions that have been or			
% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments				
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes No Not Applicable				
Agency Feedback Comments				
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?				
Checked if Ap	plies			
Reorganized Priorities				
Reallocated resources				
Issued new regulation				
Proposed legislation				
Approved grants or other payments				
Other				

No	
Grant Review Comments	
How is access provided to the inform	nation for the Committee's documentation? Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	
Access Community	
Access Comments	

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?