

2002 Current Fiscal Year Report: Missouri Recreational River Advisory Group

Report Run Date: 04/19/2024 03:13:12 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of the Interior

2. Fiscal Year

2002

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Missouri Recreational River Advisory Group

3b. GSA Committee No.

240

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

01/27/1993

6. Expected Renewal Date

01/27/1995

7. Expected Term Date

05/24/2001

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

Yes

8b. Specific Termination Authority

P.L. 102-50 (Section 6)

8c. Actual Term Date

05/24/2001

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Yes

10b. Legislation Pending?

Enacted

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

P.L. 102-50 (Section 6)

13. Effective Date

05/24/1991

14. Committee Type

Ad hoc

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a.

Open

1 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1

Meetings and Dates

Purpose

Not Listed for Annual Report

Start

08/02/2002

End

- 08/02/2002

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

THIS COMMITTEE IS TERMINATED.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

A total of 13 members were appointed from the counties, states, Federal agencies, and Indian Tribes affected by the designation of the Missouri National Recreational River. The members represented a broad cross-section of the public interested in the long-term management of the Missouri National Recreational Area.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

No meetings were held in fiscal years 2000 and 2001.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Missouri Recreational River Advisory Group represented the issues and concerns of their communities, providing critical input necessary to the decision-making process. The public meetings have provided an open forum for discussion with community members.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings were open.

21. Remarks

The Missouri Recreational River Advisory group did not meet in 2000 and 2001. This group expired in May 2001, having served its advisory purposes during the creation of the General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement for the 39-Mile District of this park.

Designated Federal Officer

William W. Schenk, Regional Director, Midwest Region, National Park Service

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bolig, Herb	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	US Fish and Wildlife Service	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Butler, Paula	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Yankton Sioux Tribe	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Denny, Jr., Arthur	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Santte Sioux Tribe	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Grimm, Jan	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Boyd County, Nebraska	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hermanek, Richard	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Bon Homme County, South Dakota	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hofer, Douglas	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Governors Representative (South Dakota)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kreycik, Kenard	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Knox County Nebraska	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Laberee, Lyle	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Charles Mix County, South Dakota	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Swanson, Rayder	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Governor's Representative (Nebraska)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Thomas, John	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Knox County, Nebraska	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Thuss, Michael	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Colonel, US Army Corps of Engineers	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Uhlir, Ardin	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Lower Niobrara Natural Resource District	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Van Osdel, Bennett	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	South Dakota District III, Planning District	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 13

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety



- | | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Trust in government | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

0

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO

☐

Online Agency Web Site

☐

Online Committee Web Site

☐

Online GSA FACA Web Site

☐

Publications

☐

Other

☐

Access Comments