2002 Current Fiscal Year Report: Deterrence Concepts Advisory Group

Report Run Date: 04/25/2024 12:25:21 PM

1. Department or Agency Department of Defense		2002			
3. Committee or Subcommittee		30. GS No.	3b. GSA Committee No.		
Deterrence Concepts Advisory Group		10763	10763		
4. Is this New D	Ouring 5. Current	6. Expected	7. Expected		
Fiscal Year?	Charter	Renewal Date	Term Date		
No	08/06/2001	08/06/2003	11/30/2001		
8a. Was Termir FiscalYear?	nated During	pecific iination ority	8c. Actual Term Date		
Yes			12/31/2001		
9. Agency	40-	l anialation	10b.		
Recommendati	on for Next	Legislation to Terminate?	Legislation		
FiscalYear	Keyi		Pending?		
Terminate	No				
11. Establishme	ent Authority Age	ncy Authority			
12. Specific	13.	14.	14c.		
Establishment	Effective	e Commitee	Presidential?		
Authority	Date	Туре			
		Ad hoc	No		
15. Description	of Committee Ot	her Committee			
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear				
17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open					
Meetings and D No Meetings	lates				
		Current Next FY FY			
18a(1). Personr Non-Federal Me		\$0.00\$0.00			

18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00 \$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$2,500.00 \$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$1,000.00 \$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00 \$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00 \$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00 \$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00 \$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00 \$0.00
18d. Total	\$3,500.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.05 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The scope and objectives are to examine and elaborate a capabilities-based and adaptive concept for deterrence that is consistent with the lowest nuclear force level compatible with U.S. security requirements; identify key characteristics of a capabilities-based and adaptive concept for deterrence; identify roles for nonnuclear, nuclear, and defensive capabilities in a capabilities-based and adaptive concept of deterrence; and identify key outstanding issues that must be addressed in consideration of a capabilities-based and adaptaive concept of deterrence. The duties and responsibilities are to provide advice for the Groups' examination of a capabilities-based and adaptive concept of deterrence and shall advise on developing specific findings and recommendations.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members are carefully selected and recognized experts in the field of nuclear deterrence.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - 12 Estimated Total Meetings - 12

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is essential because it is to provide advice and recommendations to advance America's position as a strong, secure, and persuasive force for freedom and progress in the world, and to do so at the lowest nuclear force level consistent with security requirements. The Deterrence Concepts Advisory Group provides an unbiased perspective from a diverse membership with substantial expertise in various aspects of nuclear deterrence and security. No governmental body offers this expertise and no other Advisory Board has a similar charter to provide the advice and recommendations needed to meet this requirement.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? National security.

21. Remarks

This Committee's work was completed on December 31, 2001.

Designated Federal Officer

Peter F. Verga DUSD(Policy Integration)

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Blechman, Barry	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	Defense Forecasts Incorporated	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Brooks, Linton	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	Center for Naval Analysis	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Guthe, Kurt	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	National Institute for Public Policy	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Miller, Jim	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	Hicks and Associates	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Payne, Keith	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	National Institute for Public Policy	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Williams, Chris	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	Johnston and Associates	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Woolsey, Jim	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	Shea and Gardner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 7

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if

Applies

Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	

Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	\checkmark
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? %

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	

Other

Access Comments