2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: President's Security Policy Advisory Board

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 10:05:25 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Defense 2001

3b. GSA Committee

3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

President's Security Policy Advisory

Board

5134

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date No 05/09/2000 05/09/2002 05/09/2002

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Yes Directive NSC-20 03/01/2001

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| Continue of the c

Terminate No.

11. Establishment Authority Presidential

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

Directive NSC-20 09/16/1994 Continuing Yes

15. Description of Committee National Policy Issue Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Spen

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The committee's design was to provide a forum for public discussion of security policy. In particular government contractors maintained an obvious interest in such policy and were a primary target of the committee's endeavors. The mechanism of communication was quarterly meetings held in various localities throughout the country. The committee had a major impact in communicating to the Executive Branch the depth of community feeling (negative) with respect to the backlog of personnel security investigations. The committee was also been a propulsive force in the establishment of a community wide data base for security clearance information.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee had four diverse members, all of whom were currently involved in the private sector. All four also previously enjoyed government careers and, as such, bring to the table a dual perspective and a welcome sense of proportion.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee did not meet this fiscal year.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The committee's input was unique in that it represented an ever present insitutional presence--a kind of bureaucratic balance which can only be accomplished with a permanent presence.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

No meetings were held this fiscal year.

21. Remarks

This committee was terminated on March 1, 2001. The committee was inactive in FY-01.

Designated Federal Officer

Willard J Isaacs Staff Officer, Security Policy Board Staff

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bilbray, James	12/15/2000	03/01/2001		Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Brooks, Thomas	03/01/1995	03/01/2001	AT&T	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Harrison Special Government Steward, 03/01/1995 03/01/2001 and Employee (SGE) Nina Associates Member Institute for Special Government Welch, Larry 03/01/1995 03/01/2001 Defense Employee (SGE) Analysis Member Number of Committee Members Listed: 4 **Narrative Description** What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee? Checked if **Applies** Improvements to health or safety Trust in government Major policy changes Advance in scientific research Effective grant making Improved service delivery Increased customer satisfaction Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other **Outcome Comments** What are the cost savings associated with this committee? **Checked if Applies** None Unable to Determine Under \$100,000 \$100,000 - \$500,000 \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000

Cost Savings Other				
Cost Savings Comments				
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Number}}$ of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee? 0				
Number of Recommendations Comments				
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of the will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?	se recommendations that have been or			
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented	Comments			
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ implemented by the agency?				
% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments				
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes □ No □ Not Applicable ✓				
Agency Feedback Comments				
What other actions has the agency taken as recommendation?	s a result of the committee's advice or			
	Checked if Applies			
Reorganized Priorities				
Reallocated resources				
Issued new regulation				

ications for grants?
he Committee's documentation?
necked if Applies
ł

Access Comments