2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: Panel to Review the V-22 Program

Report Run Date: 03/29/2024 03:45:59 PM

1. Department or Agency	2. Fiscal Year
Department of Defense	2001

3. Committee or Subcommittee	3b. GSA Committee No.
Panel to Review the V-22 Program	10679

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
Yes	01/05/2001		05/05/2001

8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date
Yes		05/05/2001

9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?
Terminate		

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Commitee Type	14c. Presidential?
		Ad hoc	No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports 1

16b. Report Date	Report Title
04/30/2001	Report of the Panel to Review the V-22 Program

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

17a. Open 2 **17b. Closed** 0 **17c. Partially Closed** 0 **Other Activities** 0 **17d. Total** 2

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start		End
Public Hearing	03/09/2001	-	03/09/2001
Panel Deliberations	04/18/2001	-	04/18/2001

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	$25,000.00	$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	$114,224.00	$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	$20,000.00	$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	$10,000.00	$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	$5,200.00	$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	$10,000.00	$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	$302,000.00	$0.00
18d. Total	$486,424.00	$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	1.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Panel is to conduct an independent, high-level review of the V-22 program to include safety of the aircraft and recommend any proposed changes or corrective actions, and report the results to the Secretary of Defense. The panel should address the following factors, as they affect strategy and combat effectiveness: training, engineering and design, production and quality control, suitability to satisfy operational requirements, and performance and safety of flight.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The panel is composed of four members, who have expertise, knowledge, and experience in matters related to the V-22.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

After a series of fact finding sessions, the panel held two open meetings: one was a public hearing and the other, public deliberations.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Secretary of Defense is in need of an objective panel to look at this high profile program. The panel members all have substantial expertise, knowledge, and experience necessary in matters related to the V-22 review that is unavailable from any other resource.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

21. Remarks

The panel issued its final report on May 30, 2001. Hearings before the Senate and House Armed Services Committees were held in early June 2001.

Designated Federal Officer

Gary J. Gray

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Augustine, Norman	01/05/2001	05/05/2001	Chairman, American Red Cross	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Covert, Eugene	01/05/2001	05/05/2001	Director, MIT Center for Aerodynamic Studies and the Wright Brothers Facility	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dailey, John	01/05/2001	05/05/2001	Director, National Air and Space Museum	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Davis, James	01/05/2001	05/05/2001	Retired General and CEO	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 4

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	☐
Trust in government	☐
Major policy changes	☐
Advance in scientific research	☐
Effective grant making	☐
Improved service delivery	☐
Increased customer satisfaction	☐
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	☐
Other	☐

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	✔
Unable to Determine	☐

Under $100,000 ☐

$100,000 - $500,000 ☐

$500,001 - $1,000,000 ☐

$1,000,001 - $5,000,000 ☐

$5,000,001 - $10,000,000 ☐

Over $10,000,000 ☐

Cost Savings Other ☐

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?
0

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?
%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?
%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?
Yes ☐   No ☐   Not Applicable ✔

Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	☐
Reallocated resources	☐
Issued new regulation	☐
Proposed legislation	☐
Approved grants or other payments	☐
Other	☐

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?
No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	☐
Online Agency Web Site	☐
Online Committee Web Site	☐
Online GSA FACA Web Site	☐
Publications	☐
Other	☐

Access Comments