2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: Web-Based Education Commission

Report Run Date: 09/20/2024 03:10:03 AM

2. Fiscal Year 1. Department or Agency

Department of Education 2001

3b. GSA Committee 3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

Web-Based Education

Commission

5265

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date **Term Date**

No 02/16/1999 02/16/2001 03/19/2001

8b. Specific

8a. Was Terminated During Termination 8c. Actual **Term Date**

Authority

Yes 03/19/2001

9. Agency 10b.

10a. Legislation **Recommendation for Next** Legislation **Reg to Terminate?**

FiscalYear Pending?

Terminate

FiscalYear?

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

14. 12. Specific 13.

14c. Establishment Effective Commitee Presidential?

Authority Date **Type**

Sec. 852(a), P.L.

10/07/1998 Ad hoc Yes 105-244

15. Description of Committee National Policy Issue Advisory

Board

16a. Total Number of Reports 1

16b. Report Report Title

Date

The Power of the Internet for

12/19/2000 Learning, Moving from Promise to

Practice

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

1 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1

Meetings and Dates

Purpose Start End

Release of the Web-based Education

Commission's final report at the National 12/14/2000 - 12/14/2000

Press Club

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY Next
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$134,701.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$8,027.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$8,323.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$98,870.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$249,921.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	3.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Commission accomplished its mission resulting in their final report entitled, The Power of the Internet for Learning, Moving from Promise to Practice. In the course of their work, the Commission head from hundreds of educators, policymakers, Internet pioneers, education researchers, and ordinary citizens who shared their powerful visions and showed us the promise

of the Internet--to center learning around the student instead of the classroom, to focus on the strengths and needs of individual learners, and to make lifelong learning a practical reality. Based on the findings, the Commission believes a national mobilization is necessary--to make new internet resources, especially broadband access, widely and equiptably available and affordable for all learners. All the recommendations are available for viewing in the report at the website: www.webcommission.org. The report was distribute and release at the National Press Club on December 14, 2000.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Commission was comprised of sixteen members of which, three were appointed by the President, from among individuals representing the Internet technology industry; three were appointed by the Secretary of Education, whose expertise in accreditation, establishing statewide curricula, and establishing information technology networks pertaining to education curricula; two were appointed by the Majority Leader of the Senate; three were appointed by the Minority Leader of the House; three were appointed by the Speaker of the House; three were appointed by the Minority Leader of the House.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Commission established a schedule that included five hearings, Washington, DC (November 16-17, 1999; Washington, DC (February 2-3, 2000); Silicon Valley, CA (April 7-8, 2000); Atlanta, GA (June 26, 2000); Washington, DC (July 19-20, 2000); Washington, DC (September 14-15, 2000); and several conference

calls. The hearings directly related to addressing the mission and objective of the Commission. The mission of the Commission was to sesure that all learners have full and equal access to the capabilities of the World Wide Web, and to ensure that online content and learning strategies are affordable and meet the highest standards of educational equality. The Commission established three principal objectives: (1) Articulate a comprehensive policy road-map for key education stakeholders, public policy officials, and the private sector. (2) Establish a strong presence on the World Wide Web that is a focal point of discussion and debate over the key policies affecting the deployment of Web-based content and learning strategies. (3) Provide specific policy guidance to Congress regarding how the Web can help improve student achievement as part of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) reauthorization.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

Congress felt there was a requirement by government to conduct a thorough study to assess the educational software in retail markets for secondary and postsecondary students. The Commission was charged with reporting its findings and conclusions resulting from the study, and making recommendations as 1) to such legislation and administrative actions as the Commission considers to be appropriate; and 2) access the appropriate Federal role in determining quality educational software products.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? No meetings were closed in FY 2001.

21. Remarks

This Commission issued its report in December and as required by its legislation terminated on 3/19/2001.

Designated Federal Officer

Jay Noell DFO

ouy 1100				
Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Abraham, Patricia	01/28/1999	03/19/2001	Professor, Dept. of Technology and Education, Mississippi State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Arkatov, Alan	05/11/1999	03/19/2001	Founder and Chairman, Online Learning.net, Los Angeles, California	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bailey, George	01/28/1999	03/19/2001	Director, Educational Program Development, University of Montana	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bingaman, Jeff	04/14/1999	03/19/2001	Senator, New Mexico	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Brown, Richard	01/01/1999	03/19/2001	Faculty Mentor, Education Division, Walden University, Minneapolis, MN	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Collins, Susan	12/30/1999	03/19/2001	Senior Vice President, Marketing, Professional Development, and Strategic Planning, San Diego, CA	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Enzi, Michael	11/30/1999	11/30/2000	U.S. Senator from Wyoming	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fattah, Chaka	01/27/1999	03/19/2001	Congressman, Pennsylvania	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Gage, John	12/01/1999	03/19/2001	Director of Science, SunMicrosystems, Palo Alto, CA	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Gowen, Richard	05/11/1999	03/19/2001	President, South Dakota School of Mines and Technology	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Isakson, Johnny	11/30/1999	11/30/2000	U.S. Congressman from Georgia	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kerrey, Bob	01/14/1999	03/19/2001	Senator, Nebraska	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
King, Douglas	01/27/1999	03/19/2001	President and CEO, St. Louis Science Center, St. Louis MO	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McGinn, Florence	05/11/1999	03/19/2001	English Teacher, Hunterdon Central Reginal High School, Flemington, NJ	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pfund, Nancy	12/30/1999	03/19/2001	Principal, Private Equity Group, Hambrecht & Quist, San Francisco, CA	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Winston, David	12/22/1998	03/19/2001	Senior Vice-President, Fabrizio, Mclaughlin, and Associates, Alexandria, VA	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 16

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety

Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
What are the cost savings associated with th	is committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	✓
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
What is the approximate Number of recomme for the life of the committee?	endations produced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Comments	
What is the approximate Percentage of these	recommendations that have been or

will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations $\underline{\textbf{Fully}}$ Implemented Comments

What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these will be $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ implemented by the agency? $\%$	recommendations that have been or
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemente	d Comments
Does the agency provide the committee with implement recommendations or advice offered Yes No Not Applicable	• •
Agency Feedback Comments	
What other actions has the agency taken as a recommendation?	
B	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of ap	plications for grants?
Grant Review Comments	

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments