### 2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: Advisory Panel for Developmental

### Mechanisms

procedures

Report Run Date: 06/28/2025 06:24:46 AM

1. Department or Agency			Fiscal Year	
			2001	
31			o. GSA	
3. Committee or Subcommittee			ommittee No.	
Advisory Panel for Developmental			141	
4. Is this New D	Ouring 5. Current	6. Expected	7. Expected	
Fiscal Year?	Charter	Renewal Date	•	
No	06/30/1999	06/30/2001	06/30/2001	
8a. Was Termir FiscalYear?	nated During Terr	Specific nination hority	8c. Actual Term Date	
Yes			06/30/2001	
9. Agency	102	Legislation	10b.	
Recommendati	Legislation			
FiscalYear	Neg	to Terminate	<sup>•</sup> Pending?	
Continue	No			
11. Establishme	ent Authority Ag	ency Authority		
12. Specific	13.	14.	14c.	
Establishment	Effectiv	ve Commite	e Presidential?	
Authority	Date	Туре	FICSICCITIAI!	
ADM IV-100	09/30/1	992 Continuin	g No	
15. Description	of Committee	Frant Review C	ommittee	
16a. Total	No Doporto for			
Number of	No Reports for this FiscalYear			
Reports	this fiscal tear			
17a. 0 17b Cl	and 17 Dorti		Activities 0 17d	
Open Open			Other Activities 0 17d.	
Meetings and D	lates			
Purpose		art End		
trends, opportunities, a procedures		)/25/2000 - 10/27/200	00	
Proposal Review and o trends, opportunities, a	discussion on research and assessment 04	4/18/2001 - 04/20/200	01	

Total 2

#### Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY		
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	\$48,920.00	\$0.00		
Non-Federal Members	φ10,0 <u>2</u> 0.00	φ0.00		
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Federal Members	<b>+</b> • • • •	<i>t</i> oroc		
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$14,420.00	\$0.00		
Federal Staff	<b>, , , , , , , , , ,</b>	•		
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Non-Member Consultants		•		
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$14,406.00	\$0.00		
Non-Federal Members		•		
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Federal Members	-	•		
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Federal Staff	-			
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Non-member Consultants				
18c. Administrative Costs				
(FRNs, contractor support,	\$0.00	\$0.00		
In-person/hybrid/virtual				
meetings)				
18d. Other (all other funds not		Φ <u>Ω</u> ΩΩ		
captured by any other cost	\$1,973.00	\$0.00		
category) 18e. Total Costs	¢70 710 00	ሳ ው ሰ		
	\$79,719.00	φ0.00		
19. Federal Staff Support	0.18	0.00		
Years (FTE)				

## 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Developmental Mechanisms Review Panel advises in three scientific areas, plant & microbial development, animal development biology, and the evolution of development. In 2001, this panel reviewed a total of 200 competing proposals for research initiatives. The funds expended by the program totalled about 18.0M in FY01; however, this includes supplementary awards to existing awards and awards to symposia not reviewed by the Panel. The primary role of the Panel is to identify those proposals most worthy of funding, in the environment of limited funds. The Panel's recommendations are based solely on scientific merit. In addition to this key role, the Panel also provides service to the program in particular and to the NSF community as a whole by discussing matters of importance to the research community they represent. They advise the NSF regarding current trends in innovative research, format changes in proposal processing, and how the reviewing process can be improved. In meeting with the program officers over a period of three days for each Panel session, they also gain knowledge of the workings of the NSF and an appreciation for the difficulties of the process of balancing funding decisions.

### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Membership is based primarily on criteria of outstanding abilities and scientific judgment of the individual panelist. In addition, the program balances the representation on the Panel in terms of the enormous range of systems and techniques that need to be covered to effectively evaluate the proposals coming to Developmental Mechanisms. The group must encompass experts in invertebrate and vertebrate animal systems, plants, and microbes. Consideration is also made to balance the Panel in terms of gender and underrepresented minorities, as well as representation for small colleges and research institutes, along with the large research universities. The 26 panelists that served in 2001 fit in the following categories: researchers studying invertebrate systems, including Drosophila, C.elegans, and marine invertebrates, 12 panelists; and those in vertebrate systems, 5 panelists; and those studying plants or microbes, 9 panelists -there were 6 women panelists.

### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Developmental Mechanisms Review Panel met twice in FY 01. These meetings correspond to the programs' two target dates a year. The panel is absolutely essential in their role of advising the two program directors regarding the relative merits of the entire group of proposals, a role not possible for mail reviewers to fulfill.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

While ad hoc reviews can and do provide a vital function of circumspect and critical expert knowledge in their area, they only evaluate a single proposal at a time, and therefore cannot provide the advice necessary for the program officer to choose between many meritorious applications. The Panel has the charge of placing proposals in funding categories in relation to one another. If funds were not so limiting, and all deserving awards could be funded, the panel's overall perspective might not be necessary.

## 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

To review proposals that included information or a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

### **Designated Federal Officer**

### Frank C. Greene Division Director, IBN

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation	
Barton, Kathy	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of Wisconsin	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member	
Bejsovec, Amy	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Duke University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member	
Benfey, Philip	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	New York University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member	
Berg, Celeste	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of Washington	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member	
Cameron, Andrew	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	California Institute of Technology	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member	
Denell, Robin	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Kansas State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member	
Fitch, David	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	New York University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member	
Gasser, Charles	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of California	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member	
Izpisua Belmonte, Juan Carlos	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Salk Institute for Biological Studies	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member	

Johnson, Randy	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of Texas	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kimelman, David	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of Washington	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kopan, Raphael	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Washington University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ma, Hong	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Penn State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Mahaffey, James	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	North Carolina State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Maxson, Robert	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of Southern California	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McClure, Bruce	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of Missouri	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Poethig, R. Scott	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of Pennsylvania	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pruitt, Robert	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Purdue University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pultz, MaryAnne	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Western Washington University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Raff, Rudolf	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Indiana University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Schupbach, Gertrud	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Princeton University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sinha, Neelima	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Universitiy of California	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Steele, Robert	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of California-Irvine	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Weisblat, David	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of California	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Wray, Gregory	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	Duke University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Yanofsky, Martin	10/01/2000	09/30/2001	University of California	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 26

### Narrative Description

## What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if

#### Applies

Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	

Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other

#### **Outcome Comments**

#### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	$\checkmark$
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

#### **Cost Savings Comments**

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

**Number of Recommendations Comments** 

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? %

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

#### % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

# Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

#### **Agency Feedback Comments**

## What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	

#### **Action Comments**

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No

#### **Grant Review Comments**

#### How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	

Other

#### **Access Comments**