

2000 Current Fiscal Year Report: International Competition Policy Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 09/19/2024 03:14:35 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Justice

2. Fiscal Year

2000

3. Committee or Subcommittee

International Competition Policy Advisory
Committee

3b. GSA

Committee No.

5224

4. Is this New Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

10/03/1997

6. Expected Renewal Date

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

Yes

8b. Specific Termination Authority

Agency Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

06/16/2000

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

11. Establishment Authority

Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority

13. Effective Date

10/03/1997

14. Committee Type

Ad hoc

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Board

National Policy Issue Advisory

16a. Total Number of Reports

1

16b. Report Date

02/28/2000

Report Title

ICPAC Final Report

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

17a.

Open

2

17b. Closed 0

17c. Partially Closed 0

Other Activities 0

17d. Total 2

Meetings and Dates

Purpose

Start

End

Committee Meeting

10/05/1999

- 10/05/1999

Committee Meeting

11/19/1999

- 11/19/1999

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$224,319.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$1,554.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$1,867.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$220.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$21,220.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$249,180.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	2.29	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Prior to its termination on 6/16/2000, the Committee accomplished its purpose through meetings and public hearings. The Committee discussed and analyzed international competition policy issues in order to deliver policy recommendations to the Attorney General and Assistant Attorney General for Antitrust. For example, the Committee held two sets of public hearings in the fall of 1998 and the spring of 1999. The hearings on November 2-4, 1998, involved 48

expert participants, including senior competition officials from around the world, lawyers, investment bankers, economists and academics from the United States, Australia, Brazil, Canada, the European Union, France, Germany, Japan, Mexico, Spain, and Venezuela. A second set of hearings were conducted on April 22, 1999 and May 17, 1999, which provided an opportunity to hear from a number of business and trade associations who reported on the findings of special task forces that each had formed to consider issues under consideration by the Committee. The Committee also undertook a number of other more targeted outreach efforts. For example, in the area of mergers, the Committee initiated a survey of some 18 mergers that were reviewed by antitrust authorities in more than one jurisdiction and sent letters soliciting input from lawyers and business executives associated with those transactions.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Committee's membership was composed of prominent individuals with experience in law, economics, business, industrial relations, finance, and academia.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee generally met two times a year. The meetings allowed the Committee to consider international competition policy issues that aided in the development of recommendations to be provided to the Attorney General and the Assistant Attorney General for Antitrust.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Committee represented a unique opportunity for a group of distinguished individuals with diverse experience and expertise to meet and develop a consensus over the direction of international competition policy. In addressing these challenges, the Committee has considered the role for competition policy in the global economy broadly and with a view to improving approaches not only within the United States but also around the world. Its Report considers problems that transcend nations, problems within individual nations, and problems between particular systems. It is not possible to predict how the global economy will evolve, but the Report starts from the premise that the United States should try to provide an environment conducive to the further expansion of international commerce, tolerant of the diversity of nations with respect to their own evolving law, and hospitable to the enhancement of world welfare.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Merit E. Janow, Executive Director

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Baird, Zoe	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	John and Mary R. Markle Foundation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Donilon, Thomas	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	Fannie Mae	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Dunlop, John	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	Harvard University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fox, Eleanor	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	New York University School of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Gilmartin, Raymond	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	Merck & Company, Inc.	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Jordan, Vernon	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld, LLP	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rattner, Steven	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	Lazard Freres & Co., LLC	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rill, James	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	Collier, Shannon, Rill & Scott, PLLC	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Simmons, Richard	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	Allegheny Teledyne, Inc.	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Stern, Paula	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	The Stern Group, Inc.	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Thoman, Richard	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	Xerox Corporation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Yoffie, David	10/01/1999	09/30/2000	Harvard Business School	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 12

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety



- | | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Trust in government | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

0

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO

☐

Online Agency Web Site

☐

Online Committee Web Site

☐

Online GSA FACA Web Site

☐

Publications

☐

Other

☐

Access Comments