2000 Current Fiscal Year Report: Public Advisory Committee for Trademark Affairs

Report Run Date: 04/17/2024 10:29:55 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Commerce 2000

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee No.

Public Advisory Committee for

Trademark Affairs 368

4. Is this New During 5. Current6. Expected7. ExpectedFiscal Year?CharterRenewal DateTerm DateNo05/28/199805/28/200005/28/2000

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Yes 03/29/2000

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

FiscalYear Pending?

Continue No

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Commitee Presidential?

14c.

No

Authority Date Type

Secretary's Decision 09/01/1970 Continuing

Memorandum

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear Reports

iveboi t

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts	\$0.00	\$0.00
to Non-Federal Members	•	·
18a(2). Personnel Pmts	\$0.00	\$0.00
to Federal Members	,	,
18a(3). Personnel Pmts	\$29,600.00	\$29.600.00
to Federal Staff	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	· -,
18a(4). Personnel Pmts		
to Non-Member	\$0.00	\$0.00
Consultants		
18b(1). Travel and Per		
Diem to Non-Federal	\$0.00	\$0.00
Members		
18b(2). Travel and Per		
Diem to Federal	\$0.00	\$0.00
Members		
18b(3). Travel and Per	\$0.00	\$0.00
Diem to Federal Staff	ψ0.00	ψ0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per		
Diem to Non-member	\$0.00	\$0.00
Consultants		
18c. Other(rents,user		
charges, graphics,	\$3,600.00	\$3,600.00
printing, mail, etc.)		
18d. Total	\$33,200.003	\$33,200.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.60	0.60

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Committee advises the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) on increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of the PTO in the administration of the Trademark Act. Usually the Committee reviews proposals prepared by the PTO and then meets to provide the Office with comments and suggestions on the proposals. The Committee considers all

related to trademarks. In 1999, the Committee provided advice on the proper grade-level for agency attorneys. As a result of the Committee's advice, the Office added a number of GS-14 attorney positions in the Trademark Operation. The Committee also provides private sector input to the PTO in the areas of international and domestic trademark law. For example, in 1999 the Committee provided invaluable advice when the agency was developing new federal rules to simplify the application process and to implement the Trademark Law Treaty Implementation Act. Many of the Committee's suggestions were included in the final rules package that took effect on October 30, 1999.

aspects of the internal operations of the PTO

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Committee is composed of 15 intellectual property organizations and state bar organizations from all over the United States. For example, in 1999, the California State Bar, the American Intellectual Property Association and the Houston Intellectual Property Law Association were selected as members. In 1996, the Committee's charter was changed in order to restructure the Committee. It is now a Committee comprised of organizations rather than of individual members. This has provided a broader base of expertise and widened the representative viewpoints on the Committee. The member organizations are selected based on a solicitation in the Federal Register. Of the fifteen members, each continuing member serves staggered three-year terms, with five organizations being selected each year.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Historically, the Committee has met quarterly on average. This group is most relevant and helpful to the PTO. The Public Advisory Committee for Trademark Affairs (PACTA) has made many recommendations which the Office has chosen to implement. For example, in 1982 this group was influential in helping the Office in setting fees as Trademarks became 100% fee-funded. This group provided invaluable advice in implementing the Trademark Law Revision Act of 1988. In 1999, the group provided advice on implementing the Trademark Law Treaty Implementation Act, and on proposals to change the practice regarding public access to pending applications, to change the procedure for the public to file information to be considered by the Examining Attorney in pending applications, and to issue a list of commonly misused registered marks. The members of this group also have been excellent counselors on the Madrid Protocol, and other international issues affecting the Office.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

There are no other single, discrete groups that are able to provide the Office with: the same breadth of experience; the benefit of years of work in all facets of the Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) field; the different viewpoints that come because this group speaks for a broad range of trademark owners, domestic and foreign; the regional differences reflected among a number of metropolitan areas throughout the USA; the variety of approaches demonstrated by attorneys representing large Intellectual Property firms, small boutique firms, large corporation's in-house counsel, public searchers, academics, paraprofessionals, et al.; attorneys as well as non-attorneys, including those directing the

trademark search firms and information vendors.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Sharon R. Marsh DFO

• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. •		
Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
				Special
			Intellectual	Government
AIKEN, Donald	07/22/1999	07/22/2001	Property Owners, Inc.	Employee (SGE) Member
				Special
			Southbay	Government
DARE, Marilyn	01/01/1007	01/01/2000	•	Employee
DAIL, Maillyll	01/01/1991	01/01/2000	Association	(SGE)
			ASSOCIATION	(SGE) Member
				Special
ENGELMANN,			American Bar	Government
Mark	01/01/1997	01/01/2000	Association, IP	Employee
			Section	(SGE)
				Member
			American Bar	Special
FELDMAN,			Association,	Government
Mark	03/12/1998	03/12/2001	Intellectual	Employee
IVICIA			Property	(SGE)
			Association	Member
				Special
EDIEDMAN			International	Government
FRIEDMAN, Barbara	07/22/1999	07/22/2002	Trademark	Employee
Darbara			Association	(SGE)
				Member
			North Carolina	Special
			Electronics and	Government
HARPER, Ted	03/12/1998	03/12/2001	Information	Employee
			Technologies	(SGE)
			Association	Member
				Special
			California State	Government
Horning,	07/22/1999	07/22/2002	Bar Intellectual	Employee
Richard			Property Section	(SGE)
			,,	Member
				Member

JOHNSON, James	03/12/1998	03/12/2001	Georgia Bar Association, Intellectual Property Section	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
KRUGMAN, Gary	01/01/1997	01/01/2000	District of Columbia Bar	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
MULLER, Kimbley	01/01/1997	01/01/1999	Houston Intellectual Property Law Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
PHILLIPS, Patrick	03/12/1998	03/12/2001	Ohio State Bar Association, Intellectual Property Section	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
POMPEY, Tina	01/01/1997	01/01/2000	National Bar Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
PRICE, Griffith	07/22/1999	07/22/2002	American Intellectual Property Law Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
RYNKIEWICZ, John	01/01/1997	01/01/2000	International Trademark Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
SACOFF, Robert	03/12/1998	03/12/2001	International Intellectual Property Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 15

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety

Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
What are the cost savings associated with th	is committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	✓
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
What is the approximate Number of recomme for the life of the committee?	endations produced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Comments	
What is the approximate Percentage of these	recommendations that have been or

will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations $\underline{\textbf{Fully}}$ Implemented Comments

What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ implemented by the agency? $\%$			
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemente	d Comments		
Does the agency provide the committee with implement recommendations or advice offered Yes No Not Applicable	• •		
Agency Feedback Comments			
What other actions has the agency taken as a recommendation?			
B	Checked if Applies		
Reorganized Priorities			
Reallocated resources			
Issued new regulation Proposed legislation			
Approved grants or other payments			
Other			
Action Comments			
Is the Committee engaged in the review of ap	plications for grants?		
Grant Review Comments			

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments