1999 Current Fiscal Year Report: Mississippi River Coordinating Commission

154

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 02:43:43 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of the Interior 1999

3b. GSA Committee
3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

Mississippi River Coordinating

Commission

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date 11/19/1992 11/18/1998

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Yes P.L. 100-696 11/18/1998

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next FiscalYear

10a. Legislation Legislation Pending?

Terminate Yes Enacted

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

P.L. 100-696 11/18/1988 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a

Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Commission has fulfilled its purpose and pursuant to the enabling legislation, terminated on November 18, 1998. The Commission was not active in FY99; no meetings were held and no commission-related expenses were incurred. In FY 1998, the Commission had worked with the National Park Service (NPS) to monitor and facilitate implementation of the comprehensive management plan for the Mississippi National River and Recreation Area. The Commission had also prepared for its scheduled sunset in November 1998 by evaluating its current functions and determining that those functions would best be performed by NPS and partner agencies, rather than seeking continuation of a commission.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Membership on the 22-member Commission was prescribed by the enabling legislation, as follows: (a) the Director of the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, or a designee; (b) the Chief of the Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army or a designee; (c) the Department of the Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, or a designee; (d) three individuals from recommendations by the Governor of Minnesota to represent the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Minnesota Department of Transportation, and Minnesota Environmental Quality Board; (e) one individual to represent the Minnesota Historical Society; (f) one individual to represent the Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities Area; (g) four elected officials to represent the Cities of St. Paul and Minneapolis; (h) four elected officials from recommendations by the Governor of Minnesota to represent the interests of the other affected municipalities and counties; (i) one individual to represent the Metropolitan Parks and Open Spaces Commission; (j) one individual from recommendations by the Governor of Minnesota to represent the interests of commercial navigation; and (k) four individuals from recommendations by the Governor of Minnesota chosen from the general public.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Meetings were generally held quarterly. The Commission provided review and recommendations in preparation of the management plan for the area, and most recently had been instrumental in the passing of an amendment to the plan to allow for partnership

education facilities and programs with the local non-profit museum.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The broad base of knowledge, experience and ideas represented on the Commission was valuable to developing the area's management plan. The plan was approved in 1995, and it was important to the area's startup to have had the Commission's involvement through the first few years of plan implementation.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings were open.

21. Remarks

Re MEMBERSHIP -- In accordance with the Commission charter, members continued to serve after the expiration of their terms until successors were appointed.

Designated Federal Officer

JoAnn M. Kyral, Superintendent, Mississippi National River and Recreation Area

Committee	Start	End	Occupation	Member	
	Members	Start	LIIU	Occupation	Designation
					Special
				Minnesota Historical	Government
Archabal, Nina	05/14/1990	11/18/1998	Society	Employee	
				(SGE)	
					Member
					Special
					Government
	Biernat, Joseph	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	City of Minneapolis	Employee
					(SGE)
					Member
					Special
					Government
Blackstad, Larry	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	General Public	Employee	
					(SGE)
					Member

Dunn, George	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	General Public	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Engstrom, Dave	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Local Governments	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fairbanks, Deanna	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Minnesota Environmental Quality Board	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Flower, Terrence	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Metropolitan Council	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Haigh, Susan	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	City of St. Paul	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hartwig, William	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hunt-Alexander, Shirley	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	General Public	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ista, Jodelle	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Local Government	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Johnson, Barbara	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Metro Parks & Open Space Commission	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lambert, Richard	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Commercial Navigation/Minnesota DOT	Special Government
Moratzka, Lynn	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Local Government	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Nargang, Ronald	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Nelson, Lee	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Commercial Navigation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pappas, Sandra	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	City of St. Paul	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Schenk, William	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	National Park Service	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Schotzko, Judith	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	General Public	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Schulstad, Dennis	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	City of Minneapolis	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Weaver, John	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Local Governments	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Wonsik, John	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	US Army Corp of Engineers	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 22

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety

Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
What are the cost savings associated with th	is committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	✓
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
What is the approximate Number of recomme for the life of the committee?	endations produced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Comments	
What is the approximate Percentage of these	recommendations that have been or

will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations $\underline{\textbf{Fully}}$ Implemented Comments

What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ implemented by the agency? $\%$				
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemente	% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemented Comments			
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes No Not Applicable				
Agency Feedback Comments				
What other actions has the agency taken as a recommendation?				
B	Checked if Applies			
Reorganized Priorities				
Reallocated resources				
Issued new regulation Proposed legislation				
Approved grants or other payments				
Other				
Action Comments				
Is the Committee engaged in the review of ap	plications for grants?			
Grant Review Comments				

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments