1999 Current Fiscal Year Report: Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee on Pipeline Personnel Qualifications

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 10:38:59 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Transportation

2. Fiscal
Year
1999

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee on

Pipeline Personnel Qualifications

2201

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date 02/04/1997 02/04/1999

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Yes AGEN 02/04/1999

9. Agency 10b. 10a. Legislation

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

FiscalYear Legislation Legislation Pending?

Terminate No.

11. Establishment Authority Presidential

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

AGEN 10/24/1996 Ad hoc No

15. Description of Committee Regulatory Negotiations

Committee

16a. Total
No Reports for

this FiscalYear

Reports

Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$2,000.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$1,000.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$3,000.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.25	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The RSPA Negotiated Rulemaking Committee is developing a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on the qualification of personnel performing certain safety-related functions on pipelines subject to the pipeline safety regulations. The Committee is developing the proposal through a negotiation process. this process brings all affected interests together to jointly develop a rule that will address the concerns of all affected parties. Together, thie Committee has developed all elements of the NPRM. OPS has combined all Committee input and provided them with a draft

NPRM for their review. The Committee hopes to conclude the negotiating process, and prepare the NPRM for DOT review and publication in the Federal Register. After receiving any public comment, the Committee will meet to prepare the final rule.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Committee is composed of gas pipeline operators, hazardous liquid pipeline operators, state and federal government, labor organizations, emergency response representatives and other interested parties from the public sector. This group provides a wide range of interests from government, industry, and the public. All organizations are allowed one seat at the negotiating table, and a facilitator acts as chair of the Committee, to ensure all perspectives are brought to the table.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee meets about every six weeks. This allows for: 1) preparation and distribution of recorded minutes and other Committee documents; 2) adequate time for Committee review of these documents; and 3) interaction with members' constituency and preparation for the next meeting.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This Committee was established to represent all interests affected by a rule on qualification of pipeline personnel. Other perspectives and opinions are brought forward during the comment period, scheduled at each Committee meeting. Committee members can bring any material they

choose to the negotiating table. OPS believes this process was best for all sources of information regarding this issue.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings have been and will continue to be open to the public.

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

TBD

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Butterbaugh, Bill	10/01/1998	09/30/1999	National Propane Gas Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Cave, Bob	10/01/1998	09/30/1999	American Public Gas Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Edginton, Larry	10/01/1998	09/30/1999	International Union of Operating Engineers	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Harper, John	10/01/1998	09/30/1999	Interstate Natural Gas Association of America	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hinkie, Richard	10/01/1998	09/30/1999	Midwest Gas Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Huriaux, Richard	10/01/1998	09/30/1999	Office of Pipeline Safety, RSPA	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

				Special
Larkin, Joel		09/30/1999	American	Government
	10/01/1998		Petroleum	Employee
			Institute	(SGE)
				Member
		09/30/1999	National Association of Pipeline Safety Representatives	Special
Leonberger, Bob	10/01/1998			Government
				Employee
				(SGE)
			·	Member
		09/30/1999	National Association of Regulatory	Special
McDaniel,	10/01/1000			Government
Mary	10/01/1998			Employee
-			Commissioners	(SGE)
				Member
	10/01/1998	09/30/1999		Special
Mosinskis,			American Gas	Government Employee
George			Association	(SGE)
				Member
				Special
	10/01/1998	09/30/1999	Association of Texas Intrastate natural Gas Pipelines	Government
Murdock,				Employee
Phillip				(SGE)
				Member
				Special
			National Association of Corrosion	Government
Nikolakakos,	10/01/1998	09/30/1999		Employee
Steve				(SGE)
			Engineers	Member
	10/01/1998		International Botherhood of Electrical Workers	Special
Schlosser, Jim		09/30/1999		Government
				Employee
				(SGE)
			TTOTAGE	Member
Weist, Ron	10/01/1998	09/30/1999	National Association of State Fire	Special
				Government
				Employee
				(005)
			Marshalls	(SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 14

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety

Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
What are the cost savings associated with the	nis committee?
_	Checked if Applies
None	~
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
What is the approximate Number of recomm for the life of the committee?	endations produced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Comments	
What is the approximate Percentage of these	e recommendations that have been or

will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations $\underline{\textbf{Fully}}$ Implemented Comments

What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these will be $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ implemented by the agency? %	recommendations that have been or
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemente	d Comments
Does the agency provide the committee with implement recommendations or advice offered Yes No Not Applicable	• •
Agency Feedback Comments	
What other actions has the agency taken as a recommendation?	
B	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of ap	plications for grants?
Grant Review Comments	

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments