1997 Current Fiscal Year Report: Federal Advisory Committee to Develop On-Site Innovative Technologies for Environmental Management

Report Run Date: 06/30/2025 09:58:23 PM

2. Fiscal 1. Department or Agency

Year Department of Energy 1997

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

8c. Actual

Presidential?

Federal Advisory Committee to Develop On-Site

Innovative Technologies for Environmental 95

Management

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected

Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date **Term Date**

Nο 12/07/1996

8b. Specific 8a. Was Terminated During Termination

FiscalYear? **Term Date**

Authority

Yes **AGEN** 12/08/1996

10b. 9. Agency 10a. Legislation

Recommendation for Next Legislation **Reg to Terminate?** Pending? **FiscalYear**

Terminate No

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

13. 14 12. Specific

14c. **Establishment** Effective Commitee

Authority Date Type

07/22/1991 Continuing AGEN No

15. Description of Committee Scientific Technical Program

Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$10,000.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs, contractor support, In-person/hybrid/virtual meetings)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Other (all other funds not captured by any other cost category)		\$0.00
18e. Total Costs	\$15,000.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.20	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Through the DOIT committee, as well as the supporting DOIT Coordinating Group, and its four working groups, 1) mining, 2) military bases, 3) mixed wastes, and 4) the interstate technology regulatory cooperation (ITRC) working group, this initiative has been instrumental in identifying

barriers to the development and deployment of innovative environmental technologies. The working groups in particular have provided a unique opportunity for federal agencies, state governments, stakeholders, and industry to have open discussions on how to overcome these barriers in a practical and timely fashion. For example, the ITRC was recognized with the Vice President's Hammer Award for government reinvention in September 1996 for its groundbreaking work on removing regulatory barriers to the use of innovative technologies by the Department of Defense and Department of Energy. The ITRC was also instrumental in the agreement of six state to work toward reciprocity for environmental technology, a major breakthrough in states allowing the use of new technologies and the creation of a regional and national market for these technologies.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Through its coordinating group and working groups, the DOIT initiative has offered the opportunity to a broad spectrum of interests to discuss the barriers to the use of environmental technologies. Environmental groups, public and tribal interests, federal and state regulators, the Department of Defense, Energy, Interior and EPA, all participated in the discussions focused on specific aspects of environmental technologies and their uses related to the cleanup of federal sites in the West. Through the ITRC, this discussion was broad to include other states from the Northeast, South and Midwest.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

N/A

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This effort was critical because there is no other formation that brought so many broad interests together to focus on the use of environmental technologies for the cleanup of federal sites and allowed for related issues and challenges to be addressed together. This focusing of effort was instrumental in crafting far ranging and insightful recommendations to the states and federal agencies; 1) Improve performance and reduce costs through expanded regulatory options that encourage innovation, 2) stimulate economic growth and reduce costs by creating regional and national markets for innovative technologies, and 3) cut costs and expedite cleanup through collaborative partnering among regulators, federal agencies, tribes and stakeholders.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

TBD

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety			
Trust in government			
Major policy changes			
Advance in scientific research			
Effective grant making			
Improved service delivery			
Increased customer satisfaction			
Implementation of laws or regulatory			
requirements			
Other			
Outcome Comments			
What are the cost savings associated with th			
	Checked if Applies		
None			
Unable to Determine			
Under \$100,000			
\$100,000 - \$500,000			
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000			
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000			
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000			
Over \$10,000,000			
Cost Savings Other			
Cost Savings Comments			
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Number}}$ of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?			
Number of Recommendations Comments			

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these rewill be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?	ecommendations that have been or		
% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments			
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes No Not Applicable			
Agency Feedback Comments			
What other actions has the agency taken as a recommendation?	result of the committee's advice or		
C	Checked if Applies		
Reorganized Priorities			
Reallocated resources			
Issued new regulation			
Proposed legislation			
Approved grants or other payments			
Other			
Action Comments			
Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?			
Grant Review Comments			

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments