

1997 Current Fiscal Year Report: Federal Advisory Committee to Develop On-Site Innovative Technologies for Environmental Management

Report Run Date: 04/23/2024 08:49:48 PM

1. Department or Agency	2. Fiscal Year
Department of Energy	1997
3. Committee or Subcommittee	3b. GSA Committee No.
Federal Advisory Committee to Develop On-Site Innovative Technologies for Environmental Management	95

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	12/07/1996		

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date
Yes	AGEN	12/08/1996

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?
Terminate	No	

11. Establishment Authority	Agency Authority		
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
AGEN	07/22/1991	Continuing	No

15. Description of Committee Scientific Technical Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open 0 **17b. Closed** 0 **17c. Partially Closed** 0 **Other Activities** 0 **17d. Total** 0

Meetings and Dates
No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$10,000.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$15,000.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.20	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Through the DOIT committee, as well as the supporting DOIT Coordinating Group, and its four working groups, 1) mining, 2) military bases, 3) mixed wastes, and 4) the interstate technology regulatory cooperation (ITRC) working group, this initiative has been instrumental in identifying barriers to the development and deployment of innovative environmental technologies. The working groups in particular have provided a unique opportunity for federal agencies, state governments, stakeholders, and industry to have

open discussions on how to overcome these barriers in a practical and timely fashion. For example, the ITRC was recognized with the Vice President's Hammer Award for government reinvention in September 1996 for its groundbreaking work on removing regulatory barriers to the use of innovative technologies by the Department of Defense and Department of Energy. The ITRC was also instrumental in the agreement of six states to work toward reciprocity for environmental technology, a major breakthrough in states allowing the use of new technologies and the creation of a regional and national market for these technologies.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Through its coordinating group and working groups, the DOIT initiative has offered the opportunity to a broad spectrum of interests to discuss the barriers to the use of environmental technologies. Environmental groups, public and tribal interests, federal and state regulators, the Department of Defense, Energy, Interior and EPA, all participated in the discussions focused on specific aspects of environmental technologies and their uses related to the cleanup of federal sites in the West. Through the ITRC, this discussion was broad to include other states from the Northeast, South and Midwest.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

N/A

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This effort was critical because there is no other formation that brought so many broad interests

together to focus on the use of environmental technologies for the cleanup of federal sites and allowed for related issues and challenges to be addressed together. This focusing of effort was instrumental in crafting far ranging and insightful recommendations to the states and federal agencies; 1) Improve performance and reduce costs through expanded regulatory options that encourage innovation, 2) stimulate economic growth and reduce costs by creating regional and national markets for innovative technologies, and 3) cut costs and expedite cleanup through collaborative partnering among regulators, federal agencies, tribes and stakeholders.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

TBD

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety

☐

Trust in government

☐

Major policy changes

☐

Advance in scientific research

☐

Effective grant making

☐

- Improved service delivery ☐
- Increased customer satisfaction ☐
- Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements ☐
- Other ☐

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- None ☒
- Unable to Determine ☐
- Under \$100,000 ☐
- \$100,000 - \$500,000 ☐
- \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐
- \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 ☐
- \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 ☐
- Over \$10,000,000 ☐
- Cost Savings Other ☐

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

0

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>

Online GSA FACA Web Site



Publications



Other



Access Comments